# Assessment of the digestive tolerance in cats of a new diet based on insects as the protein source

#### I Leriche<sup>1</sup>, S Fournel<sup>2</sup>, V Chala<sup>3</sup>

Virbac Nutrition, Vauvert, France
Virbac Statistical Department, Carros, France
Virbac Medical Department, Carros, France

## Introduction

Insects may be an alternative source of protein for animal nutrition and could represent a "novel" protein source for managing adverse food reactions. Publications describe the high-quality nutritional profile of selected insect species, but there is little data on the tolerance of insect-based diets.

This study was designed to evaluate the digestive tolerance of a new dry insect-based protein source diet in adult cats.

# Animals, materials and methods

Twenty-three healthy adult client-owned cats were recruited and monitored for 28 days. After a 4-day diet transition, they were fed exclusively with the new diet (Table 1). This diet was formulated to meet FEDIAF requirements for adult cats and was characterized by mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor* larvae) as the protein

Table 1: Characteristics of the test diet			
Metabolisable Energy (ME) (kcal/100g)	355		
Protein (% ME)	31		
Fat (% ME)	36		
Carbohydrate (% ME)	33		

Table 2: List of the digestive parameters				
Faecal score	from 1=very dry to 5=watery			
Faecal odour	from very slightly odorous (very acceptable) to very odorous (unbearable)			
Faecal quantity	from very small to very large			
Flatulence	from never to several times a day			

source. Owners filled in online questionnaires on D0 (basal assessment with usual diets), D7, D14 and D28. To evaluate tolerance of the diet, 4 parameters were assessed (Table 2). Owners also evaluated coat condition and palatability on D28. Wilcoxon signed rank and Mc Nemar's tests were used to compare results, with a 5% significance level.



## Results

The tolerance of the test diet was high, with no significant changes vs usual diets. Mean faecal scores varied from  $2.3\pm0.5$  on D0 to  $2.2\pm0.4$  on D28 and none of the cats developed diarrhoea (highest scores: 3.5 in 2 cats on D7). Table 3 shows percentages of normal cats on D0 and D28 for each digestive

parameter. At the end of the trial, palatability was described as good or very good by 74% of owners. Coat condition was unchanged, improved or degraded in 70, 26 and 4% of cats respectively.

Table 3: % cats with normal digestive parameters at different time points				
Digestive	Considered as	% normal cats		
parameter	normal if:	D0	D28	
Faecal score	<u>&lt;</u> 2.5	87	91	
Faecal odour	<pre></pre>	74	91	
Faecal quantity	<pre>&lt; medium</pre>	96	100	
Flatulence	never	78	96	



# **Conclusion**



These preliminary results showed the good tolerance of the test diet in healthy adult cats. The next step will consist in testing the diet in cats with adverse food reactions.

